

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

<i>In re:</i>	§	
	§	Chapter 11
KrisJenn Ranch, LLC,	§	
	§	
<i>Debtor</i>	§	Case No. 20-50805
	§	

---

KrisJenn Ranch, LLC, KrisJenn Ranch,	§	
LLC–Series Uvalde Ranch, and KrisJenn	§	
Ranch, LLC–Series Pipeline ROW, as	§	
successors in interest to Black Duck	§	
Properties, LLC,	§	
	§	Adversary No. 20-05027
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
DMA Properties, Inc. and Longbranch	§	
Energy, LP,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

---

---

DMA Properties, Inc. and Frank Daniel	§	
Moore,	§	
	§	
<i>Cross-Plaintiffs/Third-Party Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	Adversary No. 20-05027
	§	
KrisJenn Ranch, LLC, KrisJenn Ranch,	§	
LLC–Series Uvalde Ranch, and KrisJenn	§	
Ranch, LLC–Series Pipeline ROW, Black	§	
Duck Properties, LLC, Larry Wright, and	§	
John Terrill,	§	
	§	
<i>Cross-Defendants/Third-Party Defendants.</i>	§	

**ORDER (I) GRANTING DMA PROPERTIES, INC.’S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT ON DMA’S OWNERSHIP INTEREST IN THE BIGFOOT NOTE PAYMENTS,  
AND (II) OVERRULING KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, KRISJENN RANCH, LLC SERIES  
UVALDE RANCH, KRISJENN RANCH, LLC SERIES PIPELINE  
ROW’S OBJECTIONS TO DMA’S EVIDENCE  
[RELATES TO ADV. DKT. NOS. 41 & 95]**

On this day, the Court considered (I) DMA Properties, Inc.’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on DMA’s Ownership Interest in the Bigfoot Note Payments [Adv. Dkt. No. 41] (the “Motion for Summary Judgment”) and (II) Krisjenn Ranch, LLC, Krisjenn Ranch, LLC, Series Uvalde Ranch, Krisjenn Ranch, LLC, Series Pipeline Row’s Objections to DMA’s Evidence [Adv. Dkt. No. 95] (“Evidentiary Objections”). Having reviewed the parties’ briefing, the governing law, and the case file as a whole and having heard the parties’ arguments as presented by counsel, the Court finds (I) the Motion is meritorious and should be granted, and (II) the Evidentiary Objections are not well-taken and should be overruled.

It is therefore ORDERED that for the reasons stated on the record, DMA Properties, Inc.’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on DMA’s Ownership Interest in the Bigfoot Note Payments [Adv. Dkt. No. 41] is GRANTED.

It is further ORDERED that for the reasons stated on the record, Krisjenn Ranch, LLC, Krisjenn Ranch, LLC, Series Uvalde Ranch, Krisjenn Ranch, LLC, Series Pipeline Row's Objections to DMA's Evidence [Adv. Dkt. No. 95] are OVERRULED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

# # #

Order Prepared By:

/s/ Christopher S. Johns

Christopher S. Johns  
State Bar No. 24044849  
Christen Mason Hebert  
State Bar No. 24099898  
JOHNS & COUNSEL PLLC  
14101 Highway 290 West, Suite 400A  
Austin, Texas 78737  
512-399-3150  
512-572-8005 fax  
cjohns@johnsandcounsel.com  
chebert@johnsandcounsel.com

/s/ Timothy Cleveland

Timothy Cleveland  
State Bar No. 24055318  
Austin H. Krist  
State Bar No. 24106170  
CLEVELAND | TERRAZAS PLLC  
4611 Bee Cave Road, Suite 306B  
Austin, Texas 78746  
512-689-8698  
tcleveland@clevelandterrazas.com  
akrist@clevelandterrazas.com

/s/ Natalie F. Wilson

Natalie F. Wilson  
State Bar No. 24076779  
LANGLEY & BANACK, INC.  
745 East Mulberry Avenue, Suite 700  
San Antonio, Texas 78212  
210-736-6600  
210-735-6889 fax  
nwilson@langleybanack.com

*Counsel for Frank Daniel Moore and DMA Properties, Inc.*

Approved as to Form:

/s/ Charles John Muller, IV

Ronald Smeberg

Charles John Muller, IV

THE SMEBERG LAW FIRM, PLLC

2010 W Kings Hwy

San Antonio, TX 78201-4926

ron@smeberg.com

john@muller-smeberg.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs KrisJenn Ranch, LLC, KrisJenn Ranch, LLC, Series Uvalde Ranch, KrisJenn Ranch, LLC, Series Pipeline Row*